Teachers’ Perceptions of Communicative Language Teaching Approach in English Grammar Teaching
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Abstract
Over the decades, the reform and opening-up policy of the 1970s has pushed China to become increasingly connected to the world, leading to a growing demand for foreign exchange. The need for English language talents has promoted the transformation of teaching methods and reforms to the national curriculum. In the early 1980s, Communicative Language Teaching was introduced into the EFL setting in Chinese English classes. However, there is minimal time to practice speaking and communicating, and researchers have debated its applicability in China. This study aims to explore the effectiveness of Communicative Language Teaching in English grammar teaching in three public secondary schools; the current teaching environment affects its application, and the constraints that may prevent teachers from using it. Through semi-structured interviews, qualitative thematic data were collected from five English teachers working in three cities in Henan province. The results show that the uneven distribution of teachers between the capital city (Zhengzhou) and the other two prefecture-level cities (Xinmi and Xinxiang), the difference in the textbooks used, and the disparity in the English proficiency of the students led to five teachers’ different views on the feasibility of CLT. The findings will provide pedagogical insights for Chinese English teachers and contribute to the government’s efforts to improve Chinese public secondary schools’ teaching and learning environment.
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Introduction
With the rapid economic development, China’s scientific and cultural exchanges with other countries are becoming frequent, increasing the demand for high-level, English-speaking talents. But communicating in English has been a weakness for Chinese people due to linguistic and cultural differences (Mi, Chen & Zhang, 2018; Zhang, Li & Wang, 2013). Meanwhile, traditional English teaching methods have lagged far behind the current needs of society, and the improvement of speaking ability has not received sufficient attention in China (Chen, 2022; Eng & Peidong, 2021).

Relevant studies have shown that most current English teaching is still test-oriented (Liu, 2022). Classroom activities where students practice English involve more analyses of linguistic forms, resulting in few opportunities for authentic speaking practice (Alakrash, 2021; Çiftci & Özcan, 2021). Against this background, the policy of English Curriculum Standards for Compulsory Education (2011 Edition) was released and explicitly pointed out the necessity of cultivating Chinese students’ communicative competence, which coincides with the purpose of CLT.

However, most English teachers in China are still confused about what CLT is, how to use it, and when to use it (Chen, 2022; Liu, 2022; Sun, Wei & Young, 2022; Wu et al., 2023). They still follow traditional teaching methods in CLT-led classrooms, resulting in too much grammar learning and inadequate development of communicative competence for students (Alakrash, 2021). Moreover, discussions on the application of CLT are almost based on one background, without narrowing down to consider the diversity of different regions (Liu, 2015; Alakrash, 2021; Doeur, 2022; Han, 2022; Sun et al., 2022). Therefore, the following three questions will guide the entire process of the study:

1. What are the teachers’ perceptions of applying CLT to English grammar teaching in secondary schools in China?
2. How does the current teaching environment affect the application of CLT in Chinese public secondary schools?
3. What are the factors that may prevent teachers from using CLT?

By exploring these issues, this research attempts to make a practical contribution to the application of CLT in English grammar teaching in Chinese secondary schools. It may help English teachers to gain a deeper understanding of CLT, thereby improving their pedagogical knowledge and teaching skills. Concerning teaching materials, education departments can be encouraged to broaden the selection of teaching materials and help teachers find authentic materials suitable for students. It can also point out the direction for the future development of English education in Chinese secondary schools.

Literature Review

Communicative Language Teaching
Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) is a fundamental teaching approach that focuses on developing learners’ communicative competence (Radosavlevikj, 2021), the core theoretical concept that deals with knowledge and use. Hymes (1972) first proposed the “communicative competence” theory, emphasizing that linguistic competence is only a part of communicative competence and advocating that learners should use language concerning its grammaticality and acceptability. Then, based on the theory, Hymes (1972) formalized the concept of CLT. For a specific definition of communicative pedagogy, Wu et al. (2023) clarified that any classroom...
activity should be based on authentic contexts, aim to develop students’ communicative competence, and focus on the language output process to promote language acquisition effectively. **Communicative Competence**

Savignon (2002) classified communicative competence into four components: grammatical competence (use of grammar knowledge), sociolinguistic competence (acquiring language knowledge and using expressions), strategic competence (native language and English usage strategies), and discourse competence (mainly refers to communication abilities) (Figure one).

![Figure 1. Components of Communicative Competence (Savignon, 2002, p.8)](image)

**Arguments of CLT and Focus on Grammar**

Beginning in the 1960s, the direction of language teaching shifted from structural to communicative orientation (Çiftci & Özcan, 2021). Despite that, there was no consensus about applying CLT to EFL contexts, as a misconception has arisen that CLT does not emphasize grammatical correctness (Chen, 2022). Some ELT (English Language Teaching) scholars, like Wu et al. (2023), pointed out the beneficial role traditional methods played in the EFL environment and suggested that it was more practical and productive than CLT in teaching and learning English grammar.

On the contrary, Radosavlevikj (2021) and Sun et al. (2022) argued that grammar teaching does not meet communicative needs. As Littlewood (1985, p.40) remarked, communicative language use was only possible by the grammatical system and its creative potential (as cited in Alamri, 2018). CLT can maintain its effectiveness by completing more authentic learning tasks with comprehensible input and learner’s language output (Çiftci & Özcan, 2021; Eng & Jiaxi, 2022). Therefore, despite its critics, CLT has gained widespread acceptance in language studies.
CLT in English Grammar Teaching in China

Other scholars, however, have taken skeptical positions, arguing that full implementation of CLT in Asia is virtually impossible (Eng & Jiaxi, 2022). In Chinese English classrooms, both the Grammar Translation Method and the Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) method were employed (Wu et al., 2023). But since CLT was introduced to China in the 1970s, grammar teaching has still received more attention than CLT (Chen, 2022). For example, students in Zhang’s (2023) research appeared to be reluctant to accept CLT because there was a mindset that it did not guarantee sufficient grammar knowledge to pass the exam. Teachers were also unaware of compatible grammar teaching and CLT (Chen, 2022). It was revealed by Wu et al. (2023) that China’s EFL language environment determined that grammar should be taught within the framework of CLT because it originated from ESL contexts (Zhang, 2023).

On the other hand, CLT emphasized “student-centered” learning, with teachers’ role changed from that of classroom controller to that of knowledge facilitator (Radosavlevikj, 2021). Nonetheless, Chinese students were accustomed to passively accepting grammar knowledge imparted by teachers (Eng & Jiaxi, 2022), resulting in a mismatch between CLT and the Chinese language learning environment (Han, 2022). Furthermore, the lack of sufficient teaching experience of novice teachers and the adherence of senior teachers to traditional grammar teaching methods led to a lack of thorough understanding of CLT by both (Wu et al., 2023). Some teachers were skeptical about CLT’s cultural adaptability and compatibility in the Chinese teaching environment (Mi et al., 2018; Han, 2022; Zhang, 2023).

What’s more, most previous studies were conducted on a single teaching and learning environment (Alamri, 2018; Han, 2022; Zhang, 2023) or at most two groups (Doeur, 2022; Sun et al., 2022), without taking into account regional diversity. It is now an apt time to narrow the scope of research contexts and study the practicability of CLT in China. This research is intended to investigate teachers’ perceptions of employing CLT to teach English grammar, the effect of contemporary teaching background on using CLT, and factors that may hinder teachers from using CLT.

Methods

Edmonds and Kennedy (2017) present that a case study is typically used with a phenomenological perspective, making it suitable for in-depth analysis of a finite number of participants and exploring a phenomenon’s lived experience. This research conducted a qualitative case study to fulfill the research objectives.

Participants

According to Busetto, Wick and Gumbinger (2020), during a qualitative study, there should be a sampling plan to describe the sampling parameters (participants, settings, events, process) to be consistent with the purpose of the study. Given the nature of this qualitative study, a purposive sampling plan was used as the research term for qualitative sampling was purposive (Creswell, 2012). Also, concerning that any macro and micro phenomenon being studied can be seen as a case (Creswell, 2012). In this research, Henan Province was chosen as the study location as Henan was the researcher’s hometown, and it was convenient for the researcher to invite participants.

Five qualified in-service teachers in three public secondary schools in Henan province were invited. Two of them taught in Zhengzhou (provincial capital) and two in Xinmi (prefecture-level cities), coupled with the rest in Xinxiang (prefecture-level city). The age of teaching, the level of
schooling, different experiences, and regional differences were all consideration factors. But considering the consistency of student levels and stages of education, this study was limited to choosing second-year public secondary schools as a reference (see Table one). Table 1. Participants’ Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Teaching Age</th>
<th>Students Grades</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Field of Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Zhengzhou</td>
<td>English Bachelor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Xinxiang</td>
<td>Education Bachelor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Xinmi</td>
<td>Education Bachelor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Xinmi</td>
<td>Education Bachelor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Zhengzhou</td>
<td>Education Bachelor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Research Instruments
Five participants’ perceptions during the semi-structured interviews were recorded and transcribed into English. The data was processed by N-vivo software and analyzed thematically into each code deductively following the framework of Dubin and Olshtain (1986), as it was comprehensive and covered six influential elements of the EFL context (see Figure two).  

Figure 2. Elements of an EFL Context (Dubin & Olshtain, 1986, p.96)

Research Procedures
This research was divided into three stages. First, to ensure reliability, the draft interview protocol included guiding questions designed to guarantee that nothing important was omitted and that
appropriate question wording was used. Second, semi-structured interviews were conducted in each participant’s office to encourage participants to state their views (Busetto et al., 2020), and the recording process was taken place simultaneously after the participants’ consents were obtained. Third, thematic analysis was performed to analyze the qualitative data.

Results
To present results, data collected from interviews as emerging themes will be discussed about Dubin and Olshtain’s (1986) theoretical framework. The results indicated that though participants were all from public secondary schools, different perceptions of the feasibility of CLT occurred.

Participants—Instructors
The influence of past learning experiences on grammar teaching
First, two senior participants who had been teaching for more than thirty years expressed unapprehended and resistant thoughts about CLT (see Table two).

Table 2. Participants’ discomfort with the new teaching approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Theme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>We have gotten used to explaining grammar rules deductively in Chinese...then wrote a lot of words or grammar...students followed and kept taking notes...</td>
<td>Deductive teaching of grammar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>The exam-oriented education did not set extra while-class and after-class activities to practice speaking...grammar should even be considered the top priority in teaching...</td>
<td>Exam-oriented education, focus on grammar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Years of teaching experience had persistently led participants to insist that grammar was the priority and that CLT did not emphasize grammatical correctness. Apart from senior teachers’ dedication, some novice teachers subconsciously conveyed the same perception. T2, who has just started her career as a teacher, remarked on the teaching approach that her English teacher used when she was a student and deemed that “traditional grammar teaching...and test-oriented English education...still useful for preparing students for exams”.

Uneven distribution of high-quality teachers among cities
When asked about teaching faculty, a participant in Ximmi (T4) complained that her school was in absence of qualified, postgraduate-level teachers due to backward economic development and their unwillingness; she said, “More than half of the teachers in my school were over 40 years old, and quite a few had only college or bachelor’s degrees.”

Also, a participant from Xinxiang suggested that CLT might be more feasible for schools in the provincial capital city, “Zhengzhou set the highest requirements for capable teacher recruitment, including educational background, integrated language skills, and well-prepared teaching abilities. Well-paid treatment by the government could retain them”.

Participants—learners
The Disparity in students’ English proficiency
CLT demands a high standard of communicative competence. When asked about the constraints of adopting CLT, three participants mentioned (see Table three):
Table 3. Differences in students’ English proficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Responses</th>
<th>Themes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>could not express fluently without fixed sentence patterns and expression templates...</td>
<td>Weak oral expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>adoption of CLT depends largely on students’ English proficiency...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>Their current English proficiency was not yet adapted to the CLT approach after consideration.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Students from Zhengzhou were cultivated cultural and language qualities from childhood, and they will be more receptive to CLT.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>They will become more active... show great interest... and enjoy participating... in the class.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notably, they all showed the constraints of adopting CLT, while the other two teachers from Zhengzhou (T1 and T5) faced no such troubles (see Table three). The comparison made it clear that the disparity in students’ English proficiency due to regional differences in literacy and education levels made teachers cautiously consider using CLT.

Acceptance of Communicative Language Teaching

On the contrary, reviewing classroom performance, participant T2 voiced, “They preferred to participate in communicative activities than doing drill-and-practice grammar exercises...” and T3 praised that their grammatical knowledge might effectively improve through CLT. “Students today were more articulate than those of our time. They were no longer afraid to speak out”. However, for those students who were more dependent on teachers’ explicit explanations of grammar, they preferred the traditional way of teaching grammar. As T3 remarked, “For students with poor language basis, they may not get much improvement from CLT... teachers were needed to summarize and explain knowledge explicitly and deductively”. Consequently, full implementation of CLT was almost impossible.

Language input resources—teaching materials

Over-reliance on the textbook

When asked how employed CLT in classrooms, the typical response was an over-reliance on textbooks. The current textbook, *Go for It*, is used for public secondary schools (grades 7-9) in China. Each unit consisted of two sections and involved four language skills—Listening and Speaking-Roleplay in Section A, Reading and Writing-Self Check in Section B (see Appendix A). Participants from Zhengzhou suggested using CLT in section A, as T5 said, “Section A of each unit had a task module that required students to do communicative activities such as role-play...”. T1 also clarified, “... the first few sections of each unit focused on listening and speaking objectives and required grammar knowledge to be covered in the conversation, so I used CLT there”. Notwithstanding, *Go For It*, as the only textbook, was wholly relied upon and followed by participants. The content of Section A was an indispensable element for designing communicative activities.

Language input resources—language setting

The lack of an authentic environment

Given that CLT originated from a native-speaking context, participants mentioned the situation when expressing views on the practicability of CLT in China (see Table Four).
Table 4. Participants’ statements on the lack of an authentic environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Responses</th>
<th>Themes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>CLT required an authentic language context... because after classroom learning, students chatted in Chinese... they all communicated with parents in Chinese... though they all communicated in Chinese... though</td>
<td>lack of an authentic environment could weaken the effectiveness of CLT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>some were well-educated parents, most did not possess such competence.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This led to unsatisfactory results despite teachers’ best efforts to assign after-school speaking tasks. The language learning environment loses its practical purpose due to the discrepancy between purposefully designed classroom activities and students’ actual lives.

Outer setting—educational policy

Examination-oriented

A deeply ingrained feature of Chinese education was exam-oriented education, which put tremendous pressure on teachers (See Table five).

Table 5. Participants’ complaints about China’s test-oriented examination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Responses</th>
<th>Themes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>I am currently teaching in Grade 9, a stage where students will soon take high school entrance exams. They are under a lot of pressure. at least two-thirds of my teaching time was spent preparing students for the upcoming High School Entrance Examination...</td>
<td>Teaching for exam purposes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>students’ exams were still dominated by grammar knowledge...it was the most important teaching task...</td>
<td>Full of grammar knowledge in Chinese English exam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>CLT focused more on fluency than language accuracy...it may not be applicable for exam preparation... the test should be more focused on students’ communicative competence... Otherwise, it’s like what we used to call ‘dumb English’...</td>
<td>Transfer of test orientation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be seen that speaking had not received sufficient attention because it was not part of the exam in China. Nevertheless, one senior participant (T5) considered that language-applied ability was more critical than grammar and exams (see Table five) and indicated that grammar is a necessary foundation for English learning.

Goals of the English curriculum in NECS

Furthermore, T5, a senior teacher, only she complained about the policy that “the New Curriculum Standards mentioned too many teaching objectives... using only one teaching method in the classroom may not achieve the goals required by the policy”. Therefore, cultivating students’ comprehensive language competence requires a combination of different English teaching methods should be suggested to accelerate the realization of the teaching objectives.

Outer setting—educational policy

Grammar-based English teaching

It was evident from detailed descriptions of the five participants that they tended to emphasize the mastery of grammar. Nonetheless, T5, advised by her thirty years of teaching experience, “We should encourage students to discover grammar rules on their own as they learn.” Likewise, T4, a novice teacher with four years of teaching, suggested that “students should learn English
inductively; I just help them summarize the grammar rules rather than explaining English in Chinese at the beginning.” (T4)

The results showed that teachers’ past learning experiences as students negatively influence on their grammar instruction. Besides, the uneven distribution of teaching faculty and disparities in students’ English proficiency among the three cities affect teachers’ acceptance of the Communicative Language Teaching approach. As for the textbook Go for It, the findings revealed that even when CLT was applied to the classroom, participants were overly reliant on the book, so CLT was still based on a traditional teaching.

Last but not least, the lack of an authentic English learning environment causes students to be unable to learn grammar knowledge and improve their comprehension effectively through CLT. Grammar teaching under CLT cannot be implemented effectively. Ultimately, whether or not CLT is used appropriately in the Chinese English classroom also depends on the specific education and learning environment.

Discussion

Question One: What are the teachers’ perceptions of applying CLT to English grammar teaching in secondary schools in China?

This research revealed that all teachers agreed to some extent that CLT contributed to students’ grammar learning. However, given the linguistic and cultural contexts, CLT may not be as effective as expected in China. Since English in China is a foreign language, not a second language as it is in Malaysia or Thailand, Mandarin (Putonghua) remains dominant. It is used as the official lingua franca of the country and the primary language of school instruction (Mi et al., 2018). Such an EFL environment has been subtly influencing how Chinese people learn English, creating a conflict between the Chinese context and the employment of the CLT approach.

From teaching perspectives, as indicated in the five participants and other studies concerning the application of CLT (Çiftci & Özcan, 2021; Radosavlevikj, 2021; Sun et al., 2022), they held unacceptable attitudes, presenting that CLT did not emphasize grammatical correctness. This finding was consistent with Eng and Jiaxi (2022), who insisted that novice teachers’ lack of pedagogical knowledge and experience and veteran teachers’ stubborn adherence to traditional teaching approaches led to a lack of understanding of CLT.

In addition, the uneven distribution of teaching faculty led to a constraint on using CLT in local cities outside of Zhengzhou. Likewise, the study of Sun et al. (2022) on teachers’ views on CLT in a Chinese metropolitan area and Doeur’s (2022) study of a school in a Cambodian slum showed that teacher disparities between cities lead to different teaching outcomes. Consequently, full implementation of CLT was almost impossible though Alakrash (2021) and Doeur (2022) believed that CLT was a more flexible approach to which most students were more receptive.

Question Two: How does the current teaching environment affect the application of CLT in Chinese public secondary schools?

From the findings, teachers’ understanding of CLT was inadequate. Because they are not aware of the authentic context required to complete the language task and the communicative purpose behind the task (Eng & Jiaxi, 2022), this led to unsatisfactory results in the true purposes of CLT despite teachers’ best efforts to assign after-school speaking tasks. Additionally, in China’s test-oriented education environment, many teachers sacrifice teaching fluency to improve language accuracy and test scores. According to the participants, CLT was time-consuming. It did not guarantee students learn enough grammar to pass the exam, echoing
the relevant study conducted by Alakrash (2021). However, Wu et al. (2023) considered language applied ability more critical than grammar and exams. Similarly, Çiftci and Özcan (2021) argued that linguistic competence was integral to communicative competence. Thus grammar could not be considered unimportant.

Also, less attention of the participants was paid to the development of speaking skills due to the lack of standardized official tests of spoken English in secondary schools (Alamri, 2018). Teachers then neglected to develop students’ speaking skills. As a result, CLT could not be widely implemented in classroom teaching.

Question Three: What factors may prevent teachers from using CLT?

This study also showed a gap between the capital city (Zhengzhou) and the other two cities (Xinxiang and Xinmi) in the province in terms of teaching quality, teachers’ background, and students’ English proficiency, which led participants to hold different views on the feasibility of CLT. Compared to the two teachers working in Zhengzhou, the other three hesitated to accept that CLT might complicate English courses and reduce students’ learning motivation.

Schools in Zhengzhou usually have high standards when recruiting teachers. They provided teachers with high salaries, training, and extensive career development, so many highly qualified or versatile teachers prefer working in Zhengzhou. The situation has resulted in an uneven distribution of the teaching force in different cities in a province.

Furthermore, as the only unified textbook across the nation, Go For It gave participants little freedom to choose their teaching materials suitable for students, resulting in a mismatch between the syllabus and the purpose of the CLT. Therefore, all these factors become the constraints that limit teachers’ understanding and application of CLT.

Conclusion

This study chose China’s three public secondary schools in one province to investigate the practicability of CLT in teaching English grammar and to gain insight into teachers’ perceptions of using CLT. The findings revealed that this method did not achieve the expected educational results. Teachers’ past learning experiences, the uneven distribution of teaching faculty and disparities in students’ English proficiency, the only unified textbook, students’ preference for grammar knowledge than communication, the lack of a systematic set of standard speaking tests and rating criteria, and the EFL English learning environment were all the causes.

It is proposed to set the future transformation in using CLT in secondary school classrooms by establishing a standardized English-speaking test and evaluation criteria like IELTS. Moreover, to narrow the education quality between cities, local governments should bring in more professional teachers to improve educational quality and students’ awareness of oral expression. Regarding teaching materials, teachers should combine the theoretical knowledge of textbooks to find a more authentic corpus close to students’ daily lives and design communicative activities with exact purposes.
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Appendix A

Textbook Example (Unit 1)